Comprehensive Quality Management Systems Improving Outcomes for People with Psychiatric Disabilities

Janice E. Cohen, M.D.

First presented on November 18, 2003

APHA Annual Meeting

Mental Health Section Scientific Program

San Francisco, California

Personal Background

- Family member/Guardian
- Consumer
- Mental Health Advocate
- Mental Health Services Researcher
- Quality Management Specialist
- Clinician/Primary Care/Psychiatry
- Focus on Adults with SPMI

Negative Outcomes Associated with Psychiatric Illness

- Excess and Premature Mortality
- Excess Morbidity

Co-morbid Psychiatric Illness

Co-morbid Physical illnesses

Physical and Psychological violence

High level of severity, duration and functional impairment

Poor Quality of Life

Factors Associated with Good Quality of Life

- Housing stability
- Family and social relationships
- Employment/education/meaningful Work
- Financial independence and adequate income
- Integration into one's community
- Physical and psychological health and safety
- Spiritual beliefs and religious practices
- Talents and interests leisure activities

Findings from Systems Level Outcomes Research in the U.S.

Amount of Services is Unrelated to Mental Health Outcomes

- Ohio DMH Longitudinal Consumer Outcomes Study
- Robert Wood Johnson Nine Cities Demonstration Program on Chronic Mental Illness
- McKinney Research Demonstration Program for Homeless Adults
- Access (Access to Community Care and Affective Service and Supports)
 Demonstration Program
- Fort Bragg Children's Mental Health Managed Care Demonstration Program

Service Amount is Unrelated to Consumers' Perceptions of Needs.

Ohio DMH Longitudinal Consumer Outcomes Study

Consumer's perceptions of needs being met is the strongest predictor of good outcomes

Ohio DMH Longitudinal Consumer Outcomes Study

Evidence from Mental Health Services Research (Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness)

- Outcomes that are good or better than usual care are achieved by specific treatment and service interventions with particular groups of clients
- Provide Knowledge Base for Best and Evidence-Based Practices
 - Texas Medication Algorithm Project
 - Schizophrenia PORT Study
 - Medication
 - Assertive Community Treatment and Intensive Case Management
 - Family Psychoeducation
 - Supported Employment
 - Dual Diagnosis Treatment
 - Self-Help and Peer Support Services
 - Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) Treatment
 - Evidence-based Psychotherapies (e.g.Dialectical Behavioral Therapy)
 - Multi-Systemic Therapy for Children

Current Quality Improvement Initiatives

Evidence based practices

NASMHPD Research Institute - Coordinated State Initiatives

SAMHSA Mental Health Toolkit Development and Dissemination

Surgeon General's Report on Mental Illness - Reviews State of the Science

Consumer Report Cards

NAMI State MHA Surveys
State MHA Client Satisfaction Surveys

Organizational and System of Care Principles

President's New Freedom Commission on Mental Health Report Institute of Medicine Crossing the Quality Chasm Report

State and Federal Systems Outcomes Monitoring

Ohio Mental Health Consumer Outcomes System
Indiana Hoosier Assurance Plan
Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program Mental Health Quality Report

Current Quality Improvement Initiatives

Professional Accreditation Agencies/Oversight of Facilities and Programs

Utilization/State and Federal Laws and Regulations/Major Unusual Incidents

- JCAHCO/ORYX/CAMBHC
- AHRQ/National Inventory of Mental Health Quality Measures
- NCQA/HEDIS/MBHO

State and National Medical and Professional Licensing Boards

Establish standards for clinical competency

Responsible for oversight and regulation of individual clinicians

State and Federal Legal Enforcement/Consumer Protection

Protection and Advocacy, Incorporated

California Advocates for Nursing Home Reform

Department of Justice

Clinical Level of Need/Care Guidelines

LOCUS & CALOCUS (American Association of Community Psychiatrists)

MRC Needs for Care Assessment Schedule (NFCAS-C)

The Camberwell Assessment of Need

Institute of Medicine's *Crossing the Quality*Chasm Report cites five aims for improvement

- Safety
- Effectiveness
- Patient-Centeredness
- Efficiency
- Equity
- Timeliness

MHSIP Mental Health Report Card Delineates Five Domains for Performance Indicators

- Access
- Appropriateness
- Outcomes
- Efficiency
- Performance indicators reflect EBPs and consumer preferences
- Within each setting and population "Quality of Treatment" is a discrete performance indicator
- Recovery Indicators are placed within domain of appropriateness

Achieving Good Outcomes

- The most important predictor of good outcomes relative to services provided is whether clients perceive that their needs are being met.
- Most quality management strategies only look at a limited scope of principles, measures or outcomes: principles utilization, satisfaction, EBPs
- Implementation of Best and Evidence Based Practices only address the short-term effectiveness and efficacy of discrete interventions for specific populations
- Comprehensive Quality Management Systems must incorporate a range of functions, levels of application and decision making supports.

LINKING EVIDENCE, EFFECTIVENESS, & ACCOUNTABILTY

 Outcomes management represents the only evidence-based approach to evaluating the effectiveness of services and systems of care

• Outcomes management represents the critical component in ensuring system accountability for the fiscal and clinical management of our public health resources

Quality Management Technologies with the Potential to Expand into Comprehensive Quality Management Systems

- Ohio Department of Mental Health Quality Agenda Triangle
 Consumer Outcomes System (Outcomes Rule)
 Quality Improvement (Performance Improvement Rule)
 Best Practices (Centers of Excellence)
- Total Clinical Outcomes Management
 Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS-MH)
 Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment (ANSA)
 Young Adult Needs and Strengths Assessment (ANSA-Transitional)
- Cluster Based Planning and Outcomes Management (CPOM) formerly Cluster Analytic Planning and Evaluation (CAPE)
- Level of Need Care Assessment Method (LONCA)

Comprehensive Quality Management Systems

MUST incorporate a wide range of principles, functions, technology, methods, levels of application, and decision making supports

Comprehensive Quality Management Systems

PRINCIPLES

- Consumer preferences for needs, services, and outcomes determine domains and desired system outcomes through inclusion and active participation
- Client level clinical needs and level of care assessment, services matching criteria, and goals for outcomes are articulated and aligned
- Levels of interest are well and widely articulated
- Methodology, technology and analytical tools address all levels of interest
- Principles, processes, and technology are well integrated at all levels
- Meaningful decision support, conflict management and oversight policies and procedures for the design, development and maintenance of the system are articulated at each level of <u>interest</u>

General Technology Requirements

- The technology is non-proprietary
- The technology is designed to support coordination across multiple systems of care, supports, and benefits
- The methodology, technology and analytical tools address all levels of interest
- The technology can accommodate existing clinical and administrative assessment and reporting needs
- The methodology, technology analytical tools address "utility" to help achieve cultural competency and equity within any system environment
- Domains, measures, and instrumentation for needs and outcomes applications are Mental Health/Substance Use Disorder sensitive, specific, and valid

Potential Technology Applications

- Individual and aggregate client needs assessment levels of need
- Matching of services, supports, and benefits with client needs levels of care
- Estimating costs associated with matching services with client needs cost of matching
- Expected/anticipated outcomes associated with matching of services with client needs
- Evaluation of applied cost-effectiveness for each and all levels of care

Outcomes Monitoring for Comprehensive Quality Management

The technology provides a methodology for evaluating outcomes as an iterative process of quality management with the capacity to:

- Guide practical design of what a community's "ideal" system of care should look like
- Provide the tools and process for the reconfiguration, development, and transformation of the existing system to move towards the "ideal"
- Guide evidence-based decision making and resource allocation within the system
- Provide clinicians, policy makers, and clients short, intermediate, and long-term outcomes data to help guide clinical and system level service delivery policy making and resource allocation
- Improve individual and aggregate outcomes for clients
- Increase cost-effectiveness of services at the individual and system level